

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/064,176

Applicant(s)

GRANCHAROV ET AL.

Examiner

KAREN C. TANG

Art Unit

2451

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KAREN C. TANG.

(3) _____.

(2) Timothy J. Bechen (Reg 48,126).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,4,8,9,11-26,33,35-37 and 43.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner initiated Interview and seeking permission to submit a proposed amendment to include allowable subject and to overcome issues such as 101 and 112. Applicant has granted the permission to the Examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.